# Matemática <br> Contemporânea 

SOCIEDADE BRASILEIRA DE MATEMÁTICA

# Finding graphs with exponential clique-growth using genetic algorithms 

M.A. Pizaña ${ }^{1}$ and I.A. Robles ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Av. San Rafael Atlixco 186, Mexico City, 09340, Mexico

## Dedicated to Professor Jayme Szwarcfiter on the occasion of his 80th birthday


#### Abstract

The clique graph $K(G)$ is the intersection graph of the set of all the (maximal) cliques of $G$. The iterated clique graphs of $G$ are defined inductively by $K^{0}(G)=G$ and $K^{n+1}(G)=K\left(K^{n}(G)\right)$. An open problem is to determine whether there is a graph $G$, with exponential clique-growth rate, i.e. such that $\left|K^{n}(G)\right|=\Theta\left(t^{n}\right)$, for some $t>1$. In this work we report the use of genetic algorithms to find a candidate for such a graph. The circulant $G=C_{m}(1,3,6,7,8)$ shows an experimental clique-growth rate of $\left|K^{n}(G)\right|=\Theta\left(\sqrt{3}^{n}\right)$. Further preliminary theoretical results (beyond the scope of this paper) also suggest that this graph has indeed the desired property, but the open problem still remains to be settled.
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## 1 Introduction

All our graphs are finite, simple and non-empty. The order of a graph $G$ is denoted by $|G|$. The cycle graph of $n$ vertices is denoted by $C_{n}$ and the octahedral graph is denoted by $O_{3}$. The strong product of graphs $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \boxtimes H$. The circulant graph $C_{n}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$ of $n$ vertices, is the graph defined on $Z_{n}$ where two vertices $x, y \in Z_{n}$ are adjacent if and only if $x-y \in\left\{ \pm a_{1}, \pm a_{2}, \ldots, \pm a_{r}\right\}$.

The clique-growth function of a graph $G$ is defined as $g_{G}(n)=\left|K^{n}(G)\right|$. There are known examples of graphs were $g_{G}(n)$ has linear growth [7], polynomial growth [8] and super-exponential growth [10], but so far, no graph with exponential growth (i.e. $g_{G}(n)=\Theta\left(t^{n}\right)$, with $t>1$ ) is known.

Since the number of graphs of order $n$ is huge even for small $n$ (e.g. $6 \times 10^{22}$ for $\left.n=16[11]\right)$ a brute force search is not feasible and it is necessary to use a different approach that can perform searching in a more directed way. For our purposes, genetic algorithms yielded satisfactory results. The method used is summarized in the next section.

## 2 The genetic algorithm

Genetic algorithms (GAs) are algorithms based on a metaheuristic inspired by the mechanisms of biological evolution [6]. GAs have been successfully used to solve search and optimization problems. If $S$ is the search space of an optimization problem, the fitness of a candidate solution $c \in S$, is a positive real value that measures how optimal $c$ is. The fitness is computed by a fitness function $f: S \rightarrow R^{+}$, that is defined according to the optimization problem. In our case $S$ is the set of graphs of $n$ vertices and the fitness function $f$ is described in section 3 .

A summary of the general steps that are executed sequentially for the GA used in this work is given below.

1. Initialization: create an initial population with at least 100 random graphs of $n$ vertices.
2. Reproduction: randomly choose a pair of graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ from the population. The probability of a graph $G$ of being chosen is proportional to its fitness $f(G)$. The fitness is computed with parameters $N=7$ and $t=4$ (see Definition 3.1).
3. Crossover: let $\phi(X)$ denote a uniformly chosen random element of the set $X$. If $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are the $n \times n$ adjacency matrices of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively, create a graph $G_{3}$ with $n \times n$ adjacency matrix $M_{3}$, that will be the descendant of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. The entry $\left(M_{3}\right)_{i j}$ in row $i$ and column $j$ of the adjacency matrix $M_{3}$, is defined as follows:

$$
\left(M_{3}\right)_{i j}= \begin{cases}\phi\left(\left\{\left(M_{1}\right)_{i j},\left(M_{2}\right)_{i j}\right\}\right), & \text { for } j>i \\ \left(M_{3}\right)_{j i}, & \text { for } j<i \\ 0, & \text { for } i=j\end{cases}
$$

4. Mutation: for each pair of different vertices $x, y \in G_{3}$ (the descendant), randomly toggle with probability $p_{m}=0.001$, its adjacency relation (i.e. if $x$ and $y$ are adjacent, make them non-adjacent and vice versa).
5. Predation: randomly replace a graph of the population with the descendant. The probability of a graph $G$ of being replaced is inversely proportional to its fitness $f(G)$.
6. Verification: if $G$ is the graph with the maximum fitness of the population, check if $f(G)>0.99$ (the maximum fitness is 1 ), if that's the case the algorithm stops, otherwise repeat from step 2.

The code of the GA described above was implemented using the computer algebra system GAP (Groups, Algorithms and Programming [5]) with the package YAGS (Yet Another Graph System [2]). The next section describes in more detail the fitness function used for the GA.

## 3 The fitness function

Since we want the GA to search graphs $G$ such that its growth function satisfies $g_{G}(n)=\Theta\left(t^{n}\right)$, with $t>1$, our general approach to define the fitness function $f(G)$ is as a measure of how optimal $g_{G}(n)$, can be approximated by the function:

$$
y_{e}(n)=A \cdot B^{n}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are constants to be determined. Applying logarithm to the previous function we obtain:

$$
\operatorname{Ln}\left(y_{e}(n)\right)=\operatorname{Ln}\left(A \cdot B^{n}\right)=\operatorname{Ln}(A)+\operatorname{Ln}(B) \cdot n .
$$

By taking $y(n)=\operatorname{Ln}\left(y_{e}(n)\right), a=\operatorname{Ln}(A)$ and $b=\operatorname{Ln}(B)$ in the above equation, we obtain the following lineal equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(n)=a+b n \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the function $y(n)$ instead of $y_{e}(n)$, we can apply the least squares method [4], for computing the constants $a$ and $b$. Under this approach the fitness function $f$ can be defined as a measure of how optimally the linear regression model $y(n)$ approximates $\operatorname{Ln}\left(g_{G}(n)\right)$ (the precise definition is stated later in Definition 3.6). However, there are graphs with super-exponential clique-growth rate, which makes it impossible to compute $g_{G}(n)$ even for $n=4$. For instance, in the case of the octahedron $O_{3}$, it is well known that $g_{O_{3}}(n)=\sqrt{2}^{g_{O_{3}}(n-1)}$ [10], and hence

$$
g_{O_{3}}(4)=\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{2}}{ }^{6}} \approx 3 \times 10^{38}
$$

We can compute this order because of the established recurrence for $g_{O_{3}}(n)$, but we can not hope to do so in general.

To avoid problems caused by $g_{G}(n)$ growing too fast, we use the following iterative algorithm: given $t>1$ and $g_{G}(0)=|G|$, for computing $g_{G}(n)$ search for the cliques of the graph $K^{n-1}(G)$, keeping track of the total
cliques found at any moment, if this number exceeds $t \cdot g_{G}(n-1)$, stop the search; otherwise continue until computing $g_{G}(n)=\left|K^{n}(G)\right|$. This ensures that we only compute values that satisfy $g_{G}(n) \leq|G| \cdot t^{n}$, which lead us to the following definition.

Definition 3.1 (Logarithmic growth vector of a graph). Given a graph $G$, an integer $N \geq 1$ and $t>1$, let $M \leq N$ be the maximum integer for which $g_{G}(n) \leq|G| \cdot t^{n}$, for all $n \leq M$. The logarithmic growth vector is defined as follows:

$$
Y_{G, N, t}=\left(\operatorname{Ln}\left(g_{G}(0)\right), \operatorname{Ln}\left(g_{G}(1)\right), \ldots, \operatorname{Ln}\left(g_{G}(M)\right)\right) .
$$

Remark 3.2. We will use the notation $Y_{G}$ instead of $Y_{G, N, t}$, if its clear in the context what the values for $N$ and $t$ are.

Remark 3.3. Note that Definition 3.1, implies that $\left|Y_{G}\right|=N+1$ if $g_{G}(n) \leq|G| \cdot t^{n}$, for $0 \leq n \leq N$ and $\left|Y_{G}\right|<N+1$, otherwise.

Using Definition 3.1, we can restate our definition for the fitness function $f$ as a measure of how optimal is the approximation of the vector $Y_{G}$ using the lineal regression model $y(n)=a+b n$ in (3.1). To refine this definition, we will make use of the correlation coefficient [3], whose definition has been adapted for the context of this work and is described below.

Definition 3.4 (Correlation coefficient). Given a graph $G$, an integer $N \geq 1$ and $t>1$, let $Y_{G}$ be the logarithmic growth vector of the graph $G$ and define the vector $X_{G}=\left(0,1, \ldots,\left|Y_{G}\right|\right)$. The correlation coefficient $\rho_{G}$ of the graph $G$, is defined as follows:

$$
\rho_{G}=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{G}, Y_{G}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{G}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{G}\right)}} .
$$

Where Var and Cov are the variance and covariance respectively [3].
Remark 3.5. $\rho_{G}$ is undefined, if $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{G}\right)=0$ or $\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{G}\right)=0$.
It is well known that $\rho_{G}^{2}$ has the property that its value tends to 1 , the better a linear regression model approximates a set of points and tends to

0 , otherwise [3]. In principle $\rho_{G}^{2}$ could be used as a fitness function, but we need to consider that by Remark 3.3, if $\left|Y_{G}\right|<N+1$, then the graph $G$ has $N+1-\left|Y_{G}\right|$ iterated clique graphs that growth faster than $|G| \cdot t^{n}$. Therefore, the fitness function should give a higher value for those plots for which $\left|Y_{G}\right|=N+1$ and a lower value if $\left|Y_{G}\right|<N+1$. This lead us to state the fitness function as follows:

Definition 3.6 (Fitness function). Given a graph $G$, an integer $N \geq 1$ and $t>1$, let $Y_{G}=Y_{G, N, t}$ be its logarithmic growth vector (Definition3.1). Define the vector $X_{G}=\left(0,1, \ldots,\left|Y_{G}\right|\right)$ and let $\rho_{G}$ be the correlation coefficient of $G$ (Definition 3.4). The fitness $f(G)$ is defined as follows:

$$
f(G)= \begin{cases}\rho_{G}^{2} \cdot\left(\frac{\left|Y_{G}\right|}{N+1}\right), & \text { if } \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{G}\right) \neq 0 \text { and } \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{G}\right) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

| Graph $G$ | Growth $g_{G}(n)$ | Fitness $f(G)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{10}$ | $\Theta(1)$ | 0 |
| $C_{13}(1,3,4)$ | $\Theta(n)$ | 0.918 |
| $C_{13}(1,3,4) \boxtimes C_{13}(1,3,4)$ | $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ | 0.941 |
| $O_{3}$ | $\Theta(\underbrace{\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \cdot^{\sqrt{2}^{6}}}_{n \text { times }})$ | 0.270 |
| $C_{25}(1,3,6,7,8)$ | $\Theta\left(3^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ <br> (conjectured) | 0.997 |

Table 3.1: Sample graphs with their clique-growth rate and fitness.
Table 3.1 shows the computed growth and fitness for some sample graphs. Note that the circulant graph $C_{25}(1,3,6,7,8)$, has the highest fitness.

## 4 Results and conjectures

After running several times the genetic algorithm described in Section 2, the genetic algorithm found the circulant graph $G=C_{25}(1,3,6,7,8)$, with fitness $f(G)=0.997$. It is noteworthy that the genetic algorithm worked with graphs in general and not specifically with circulants. The growth function of this circulant behaves like this:

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $g_{G}(n)$ | 25 | 50 | 100 | 175 | 325 | 550 | 1000 | 1675 | 3025 | 5050 | 9100 | 15175 | 27325 |

Table 4.1: Growth rate $g_{G}(n)$ for $G=C_{25}(1,3,6,7,8)$

As long as it can be computed, this sequence of numbers satisfy the following recurrence relation:

$$
h(n)= \begin{cases}|G|, & \text { for } n=0,  \tag{4.1}\\ 2 \cdot h(0), & \text { for } n=1, \\ 3 \cdot h(n-2)+h(0), & \text { for } n \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Using triangular covering maps from [9], we know that

$$
\left|K^{n}\left(C_{m}(1,3,6,7,8)\right)\right|=\frac{m}{25}\left|K^{n}\left(C_{25}(1,3,6,7,8)\right)\right|
$$

for all $m \geq 25$ and $n \geq 0$ and hence, the same recurrence relation holds (at least for $n \leq 12$ as in Table 4.1) for all the circulants $\mathrm{C}_{m}(1,3,6,7,8)$ with $m \geq 25$.

It is straight forward to prove by induction that the previous recurrence relation is equivalent to the next one:

Lemma 4.1. The recurrence relation for $h(n)$ in (4.1) can be rewritten
as follow:

$$
h(n)= \begin{cases}|G|, & \text { for } n=0, \\ 2 \cdot h(0), & \text { for } n=1, \\ \frac{9 \cdot h(n-1)+2 \cdot h(0)}{5}, & \text { for } n \text { even, with } n \geq 2 \\ \frac{5 \cdot h(n-1)+h(0)}{3}, & \text { for } n \text { odd, with } n \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

And using standard methods [1], we can obtain the solution to the recurrence:

Lemma 4.2. The recurrence relation $h(n)$ has the following solution:

$$
h(n)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{3 \frac{n}{2}+1}{2}-1\right. \\ 2(0), & \text { if } n \text { is even }, \\ \left(\frac{5 \cdot 3 \frac{n-1}{2}-1}{2}\right) h(0), & \text { if } n \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

In particular, $h(n)=\Theta\left(3^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$.
Besides the empirical recurrence in (4.1) which matches Table 4.1, we also have preliminary theoretical results (which are beyond this scope of this paper), that also suggest that $h(n)$ is indeed the same as the cliquegrowth function for $G=C_{25}(1,3,6,7,8)$. All of this motivates us to propose the following:

Conjecture 4.3 (Exponential growth conjecture). There are graphs with exponential clique-growth. Moreover, let $m \geq 25$ and $G=C_{m}(1,3,6,7,8)$. Then the growth function of $G, g_{G}(n)$, equals the function $h(n)$ defined by the recurrence relation (4.1). Therefore $g_{G}(n)=h(n)=\Theta\left(\sqrt{3}^{n}\right)$ and $C_{m}(1,3,6,7,8)$ grows exponentially.
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